PROTON AND CARBON-13 NMR OF GINKGOLIDES

C. Roumestand¹, B. Perly¹, D. Hosford² and P. Braquet^{2*}

¹Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, IRDI/DESICP/DPC CEN Saclay, 91191 Gif/Yvette, France ²Institut Henri Beaufour, 17 avenue Descartes, 92350 Le Plessis Robinson, France

(Received in Belgium 23 January 1989)

<u>Abstract</u>: Complete proton and 13C NMR data sets are presented for the main ginkgolides isolated from <u>Ginkgo biloba</u>. Due to their unique cage structure, assignment of the complete spectra requires sophisticated approaches since the spectral properties can be strongly affected by local strains. A combination of one and two-dimensional analysis was used, homo- and heteronuclear correlation experiments providing a very efficient means of achieving a complete description of the structural and conformational properties in this series. The resulting database can be used for direct correlation of biological activities with structural or conformational properties in solution.

Ginkgolides, terpenoids isolated from <u>Ginkgo biloba</u>, are known as specific antagonists of platelet-activating factor (PAF), an autacoid mediator implicated in a diverse range of pathological conditions.¹ Ginkgolides represent a challenge for the organic chemist due to their unique cage structure and their potent biological activity. The high variability of this activity across the complete ginkgolide series constitutes a unique system for establishment of structure-activity relation ships since the basic molecular backbone is identical in all ginkgolides. Thus the observed variations of activity should only be due to subtle structural or conformational changes. In many cases, NMR has been found to be powerful tool for structural and conformational analysis of bioactive molecules.² Our purpose was thus to perform an extensive and complete NMR analysis of this series in order to elucidate the basic molecular origins of their activity. Owing to the large number of unprotonated carbon atoms in ginkgolides, proton-NMR alone is not sufficient to fully characterize these compounds, therefore we also employed carbon-13 (¹³C) NMR. The complete assignment of all protons and carbons requires the use of sophisticated approaches such as those described here.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The four ginkgolides under study are presented in Figure 1 and henceforth will be referred to as ginkgolides A,B,C and J. They were obtained from the Institut Henri Beaufour (France) and used without further purification.

Proton NMR experiments

Since these molecules contain a limited number of protons, they yield very simple proton NMR spectra, especially at a high magnetic field. In some cases, however, the complete assignment is not unequivocal and specific techniques are required. This limitation has precluded a complete analysis in previous works performed at much lower fields.³ ⁴ Since the various ginkgolides differ only in the number and position of substituents, it is expected that proton NMR could yield a very specific fingerprint for these compounds. The complete proton NMR spectra of the four ginkgolides are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1 : Structure and atom numbering of the ginkgolides.



Figure 2 : 500 MHz proton NMR spectra of the ginkgolides in DMSO-d6 at 300° K. Peaks labelled by stars indicate labile protons from the hydroxyl groups we disappear upon addition of $\mathsf{D}_2\mathsf{O}.$

The spectral assignment can be easily initiated from the identification of labile hydroxyl protons which can be exchanged to deuterons by addition of a very small amount of D_20 and are indicated by stars on the spectra. This exchange also simplifies some of the multiplets in the spectrum due to the suppression of scalar couplings.

Since most of the signals appear as multiplets with the exception of H-12, OH-3 and the t-butyl group, a complete neighbour analysis could be performed using two dimensional (2D) experiments which give complete separation of chemical shifts and homonuclear coupling by connectivity determination.

COSY experiments⁵ yield an assignment of most protons, but an uncertainty still remains concerning the H-6 - H-7 α - H-7 β - H-8 systems since the coupling constant between H6 and one of the H7 protons is very close to zero. Using the multiplet analysis only, several assignments are possible and all yield different sets of chemical shifts and coupling constants. To overcome this difficulty we used a pulse sequence version (COSY 45)⁶ which is potentially capable of indicating the relative signs of the coupling constants are positive and that the geminal ones are negative, the assignment of the protons H-7 α , H-7 β and H-8 becomes unequivocal. We will show later that heteronuclear correlation experiments confirm this assignment.



Figure 3 : a) Partial spectrum of ginkgolide B showing the complete multiplet analysis.

b) Ring B conformation in ginkgolides B,C and J.

c) Ring B conformation for ginkgolide A.

d) Two possible conformations for the C2-C1 bond in ginkgolides B and C corresponding to OH-1 in H-1 α or H-1 β position. In both cases, the torsion angles (0° or 120°) yield identical J1-2.

- Conformation of ring A and orientation of the OH-1 group.

A more complex situation is encountered concerning the orientation of the OH-1 group of ring A in ginkgolides B and C and is still a matter of controversy. Spin coupling analysis around the C-2 - C-1 bond should be expected to solve this problem. However, as shown in Figure 3d, the two possible solutions yield 0° or 120° torsion angles between H-2 and H-1 and both situations correspond to approximately the same coupling constant if a classical Karplus law is considered.

Attempting to overcome this problem, saturation transfer experiments were carried out. Thus, relations between the exchangeable protons can be rationalized. If a given labile proton is saturated by irradiation it will disappear from the spectrum. Moreover, if this proton further exchanges with other labile protons and if the spin-lattice relaxation time is larger than the exchange rate, saturated spins can be transferred from one site to the other. This is visualized as a decrease in the intensity of the other sites as one of them is saturated. It should be stressed that this phenomenon can be attributed to the nOe effect due to through-space dipolar transfer of magnetization. In the present case this by-effect is negligibly small since, at room temperature and 500 MHz, nOe effects are very close to zero for this type of molecule.

Using this technique of two dimensional correlation all protons can be safely assigned for all ginkgolides. The relevant coupling constants can be derived from multiplet analysis as shown in Figure 3a for the H-6 - H-7 α - H-7 β - H-8 system of ginkgolide B. The complete data for proton NMR are presented in Table 1.

Ginkgolide	٨	В	с	1
H-L alpha	1.80			1 77
H-1 beta	2 75	4 04	3 98	2.72
H-2	4 83	4 61	4 62	4 83
H-6	4 92	5 30	4 96	4 64
H-7 alpha	2 05	1.93	4 04	4.16
H-7 beta	2.05	2 14		
H-8	1.72	1.72	1.54	1 54
H-10 alpha	4.92	5.02	4.99	4.94
H-12	6.02	6.07	6.10	6.03
H-14	2.93	2.84	2 81	2 85
t-Bu	1.02	1.03	1 09	1 09
H-16	1.18	1.11	1 10	1 05
OH-3	6.38	6.47	6.48	6.40
0H-10	6 81	7.47	7 54	6 90
OH-I		4.92	4.97	
OH-7			5 66	5 53
Coupling constant	s (Hz)			
J 1-1	15.2			15 2
J 2-1	83			78
J 2-1	72	7.4	73	8 2
J 6-7	2.5	41	4 2	4 1
J 6-7	2.5	0		
J 7-7	-13.0			
J 7-8	11 0	14 6	12.4	12 3
J 7-8	80	47		-
J 14-16	72	70	7.1	7.1

Table 1 : Chemical shifts and coupling constants of protons

- Conformation of the ginkgolides.

The coupling constant derived from proton NMR analysis can be used to determine the ring conformations and eventually to derive the orientation of the OH groups relative to these rings.

- Conformation of ring B.

A complete set of coupling constants is available for bonds C-6 - C-7 and C-7 - C-8 for all ginkgolides and allows a full determination of the torsion angles of these sites. As shown in Figure 3b and 3c, the same conformation was found for ring B in ginkgolides B, C and J and a slightly distorted form was encountered in ginkgolide A. The beta orientation of the OH group at C-7 in ginkgolides C and J is unequivocally determined by this analysis.

For ginkgolides A and J, no saturation transfer could be observed between hydroxyl protons whatever the location or duration of the saturation pulse. Conversely, for ginkgolides B and C, strong transfer could be observed between OH-1 and OH-10 as shown

in Figure 4. This strongly supports the β orientation of OH-1 creating a very specific interaction between these two groups.



Figure 4 : Saturation transfer experiments for ginkgolide B in DMSO. a) Reference spectrum with assignments.

b) Saturation of OH-1 and transfer to OH-1.
c) Saturation of OH-3 showing no transfer.
d) Saturation of OH-1 and transfer to OH-10.

All experiments were performed by 0.5 sec. low power saturation at the frequency indicated by an arrow. The * symbol indicates signal saturated by transfer.

This is further supported by the chromatographic behaviour of ginkgolide B which appears as the least polar compound, while being the most hydroxylated ; this strange behaviour can be explained if OH-1 is buried in the cage of the molecule.

Carbon-13 NMR experiments

The ginkgolide molecules contain a very large number of non-protonated carbons and their cage structures induce very important strains which may severely affect the NMR parameters.

The proton-decoupled 1^{3} C spectra of the four ginkgolides in DMSO are shown in Figure 5. The multiplicity information can be derived from a number of techniques, among which the The multiplicity incommonly used as its pulse sequence is short and it is relatively insensitive to variations in the value of $^{13}C^{-1}H$ coupling constants.



The

The connection of the protonated carbons to their respective protons was achieved by a heteronuclear correlation experiment. The experiment relies on specific magnetization transfer between a proton and the bond carbon via the coupling constant.⁶ Figure 6 shows a typical heteronuclear correlation experiment and allows an accurate determination of proton-carbon pairs.

The present version of the pulse sequence⁹ is designed to cancel vicinal proton-proton couplings and the ¹H projection spectrum contains only chemical shift for simplification and sensitivity enhancement. However, this is not true for geminal couplings and explains why the protons bound to the single CH₂ (C-7) appear as a quadruplet due to inequivalence and non-suppression of their mutual coupling. This further confirms the previous assignment of H-7 α and H-7 β protons from COSY 45.



Figure 7 : Heteronuclear H-C correlation via long-range couplings (COLOC).

The neighbour determination for quaternary carbons was achieved using the COLOC experiment¹⁰ using polarization transfer through the small long range coupling between any carbons and remote protons from neighbouring carbons. This is illustrated in Figure 7 (left panel) by a contour plot for ginkgolide B and the several relevant sections taken through this plot to show how each carbon atom is coupled with remote protons and thus can be assigned (Fig. 7, right panel). The assignment of the three carbonyl carbons is very representative. For all other carbons, the assignments are not always completely

unequivocal since different carbons can experience long-range couplings with the same protons.



Figure 8 : Carbon correlation via double quantum coherences (INADEQUATE) showing a complete analysis of the carbon skeleton starting from t-butyl group.

The most comprehensive method used to assign all carbons in one step and to confirm our previous assignment was a bidimensional ${}^{13}C-{}^{13}C$ homonuclear correlation experiment (${}^{13}C-INADEQUATE$) at natural abundance. This two quanta experiment 11 is highly insensitive, requires large sample concentration (in the 0.2 - 0.4 M range) and long experimental periods (24-48 h). A complete INADEQUATE contour plot for ginkgolide B is shown in Fig. 8 and illustrates the usefulness of this experiment. The interpretation is very

Ginkgolide	*	8	с	J
C-I	36 01	73 87	73 65	36 56
C-2	85 20	9168	91 93	87 72
C-3	68.14	83 01	82 92	86 05
C-4	100 33	98 55	98 25	100 06
C-5	86 14	71.79	66 42	63 16
C-6	87.77	78.68	79 05	85.87
C-7	36.39	36.71	74 00	73.42
C-8	48.65	48 65	48.99	49 29
C-9	66.90	67 52	63.76	62.55
C-10	68 91	69.16	68.98	68 63
C-11	174.37	174 01	173.90	174 31
C-12	109.57	109.72	109.52	109.47
C-13	170 84	170 35	170 57	171 06
C-14	40.50	41.62	41.56	40.51
C-15	176.64	176.47	176 40	176 62
C-16	8 24	7 93	7.93	8 26
C-17				
C-18, 19, 20	28 95	28 96	28 95	28 99

Table 2 : ¹³C Chemical shifts

simple since if one single carbon has been assigned, the complete carbon skeleton can be determined. All these experiments contribute to full assignment of the ^{13}C NMR spectra of the ginkgolides and the relevant data are shown in Table 2.

The analysis of these spectra shows considerable differences in the carbon chemical shifts in the different compounds. This makes significant conformational differences between the various ginkgolides conspicuous. The very small structural differences can thus induce large conformational variations which are evidenced by high variation in biological activity.

EXPERIMENTAL

All NMR experiments were performed on solutions in d6-DMSO (CEA, France) the final concentration being 20 mM and 250 mM for proton and carbon-13 NMR respectively. In all cases the sample temperature was regulated to 300 K and chemical shifts are given relative to d6-DMSO : δ (DMSO) = δ (TMS) + 2.5 ppm for proton NMR, δ (DMSO) = δ (TMS) + 39.6 ppm for ¹³C-NMR.

Proton NMR experiments were performed at 500 MHz using a BRUKER WM 500 spectrometer. Carbon-13 measurements were carried out on a BRUKER MSL 300 spectrometer operating at 75.47 MHz for ¹³C. Both systems were equiped with an Aspect 3000 computer and a process controller. Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were performed using standard parameters.

REFERENCES

- P. Braquet, Drugs of the Future., 1987, 12, 643.
 K. Withrich, NMR of Proteins and Nucleic acids J. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- 3. M. Maruyama, A. Teramara, Y. Itagaki and I. Nakanishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1967, 4, 299.
- K. Okabe, T. Yamada, S. Yamamura and S. Takada, J. Chem. Soc., 1967, 21, 2201. 5. 4.
- 5. W.P. Aue, E. Bartholdi and R.R. Ernest, J. Chem. Phys., 1976, 64, 2229.
- 6. A. Bax and R. Freeman, J. Magn. Res., 1981, 44, 542.
- D.M. Doddrell, D.T. Pegg and M.R. Bendall, J. Magn. Res., 1982, 48, 323. 7.
- G. Bodenhausen and R. Freeman, J. Magn. Res., 1977, 28, 471. 8.
- 9. A. Bax, J. Magn. Res., 1983, 53, 517.
- 10. H. Kessler, C. Griesinger, J. Zarbock and H.R. Loosli, J. Magn. Res., 1984, 57, 331.
- 11. D.L. Turner, J. Magn. Res., 1982, 49, 158.